

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Br

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/015,965	11/30/2001	Yannick Vincent	FR 000130	6951
24737	7590 02/24/2004		EXAM	INER
	TELLECTUAL PROI	NGUYEN, KI	MBERLY D	
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2876	

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		lm				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/015,965	VINCENT, YANNICK				
, . 	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kimberly D. Nguyen	2876				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 11 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to sinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in				
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on STILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extermining the	nsion and the corresponding amount of the ad statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would		separate, timely filed amendment				
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if subtrikted in a	ooparato, amory mod amortament				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: §		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>N/A</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: N/A.						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-9.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: N/A.						
The drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	\bigcap				
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	//_					
	(SUPERVI	TVICAMET 6: LEE SORY PATENT EXAMINER NOLOGY CENTER 2800				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Chiang and Van Rensburg's references still meet the claimed invention.

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 1-9 remain rejected as set forth in the Final Office action dated 20 November 2003..